ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಪಾಪಣ್ಣ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಸ್ವೀಕಾರ ಮಾಡದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು? ಪಂಪು ಇದ್ದರೆ ಒಂದು ಸಾವಿರದವರೆಗೆ ನಿಗದಿಮಾಡಿರುವಾಗ 300-400 ಹಾರ್ಸ್ ಪವರ್ ಕರೆಂಟು ಕೊಡಬಹುದಾದರೂ ಅದನ್ನು ಕಾರ್ಯಗತಮಾಡದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

ಡಾ॥ ಆರ್. ನಾಗನಗೌಡ.—ಈಗ ಕೈಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಪ್ರಪೋಸಲ್ಸ್ ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಕೆಲವನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನವಿದೆ. ಅವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಕಾದಷ್ಟು ಓಲ್ಟೇಜ್ ಇರುತ್ತದೆ.

Subscribed Amount towards Three-Crore Loan.

Q.—79. **Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR** (T.-Narsipur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the total amount of money subscribed to the three-crore loan of the Government recently floated ;

(*b*) how much of this subscription is from the people of the State and how much is from outside ;

(*c*) the purpose for which the loan was floated (details for each item may be given) ;

(*d*) how much of this loan has already been spent and for what purpose ;

(*e*) the total amount of loan (inclusive of the loan granted by the Government of India) remaining to be repaid by the Government as on 1st December 1953 ?

A.—**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Rs. 337·27 lakhs.

(*b*) About Rs. 195 lakhs from the State and the balance outside the State.

(*c*) For implementing the Capital programme included in the Budget for 1953-54 and for giving loans to Municipalities for Water Supply Schemes to the extent of Rs. 50 lakhs.

(*d*) The proceeds from the loan enter into the general Cash Balance and expenditure is not separately accounted for against Public Loan or other resources separately. Provision for the following Capital programme was included in the Budget of 1953-54, the expenditure being met out of the resources including the proceeds of the Development loan :

	(Rupees in lakhs).
68 I. N. E. and D. ...	156·61
72 Capital outlay on Industrial Development.	180·66
81 Capital outlay on Civil Works.	10·00
81A Electricity Schemes ...	150·00
82 Community Development ...	10·00
82B Road Transport ...	5·00
85A. Capital outlay on Schemes of State Trading.	50·00
Total	562·27

In addition, provisions as follows were made under "R. Loans and Advances by State Government" :

A. Loans to Municipalities, Port Funds, etc.—	
Loans to Municipalities ...	52·00
Loans to District and other Local Fund Committees.	1·00
Loans to Land-holders and other Notabilities.	15·00
Advances to Cultivators ...	45·43
Advances under Special Loans	2·00
Miscellaneous Loans and Advances.	81·28
	196·71
B. Loans to Government servants	10·80
	207·51

The resources for meeting the expenditure on the above items include New Loan, Central assistance and available cash resources. The extent to which the expenditure has been met from borrowed funds can be roughly ascertained after the accounts of the year are actually closed.

The actual expenditure on Capital and R. Loans and Advances to end of January 1954 is as noted below :

	(Rupees in lakhs).
68 I. N. E. and D. Works ...	96·83
72 Capital outlay on Industrial Development.	21·08

(SRI K. HANUMANTHAIYA.)

81 Capital outlay on Civil Works.		10·12
81A. Electricity Schemes	...	182·70
82B. Road Transport	...	9·71
R. Loans and Advances	...	†50·67

† Includes a sum of Rs. 20 lakhs towards Takavi Loans.

(*e*) Loan outstanding as at the end of December 1953 is as under:

	(Rupees in lakhs).	
Central loans to end of 1952-53.	725·80	
Loans received ...	100·41	
		826·21
State loan outstanding to end of 1952-53.	1,570·70	
Public loans 1953-54 (net).	335·22	
		1905·92
Total ...		2732.13

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Out of the amount subscribed by the State, was there any amount that referred to conversion of loans ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No; there is no conversion of loans; all the amount is by cash.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Of the amount allotted for the capital work, may I know how much amount has been received from Government of India as loan during the year 1953-54 ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—I want notice.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Sir, will the Government be pleased to state that the amount they received by loan will be used only for the Capital Outlay ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes, that is the intention. As the Hon'ble member knows, we cannot keep a separate account for this. It has been merged with the Consolidated Fund and we have been using it.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—May I know whether the Government are confident of spending the full allotment for these development works before the close of the year ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—We hope so.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—May I know that the municipalities are not permitted to draw water supply loan and keep it in their accounts ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will the Government be pleased to expedite the execution of water supply works so that the amount of loan that has been set apart by the Government is spent in time ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is the effort we are making; in fact periodically we get reports and hold conferences of some of these officers; we are at it.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that the supply of pipes from Bhadravati is the real trouble for completing these works ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that the Government do not intend to import pipes from manufacturers outside Mysore ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is also under consideration now.

Sri S. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Is it not a fact that the Iron and Steel Works in Mysore, even though it works for 24 hours a day, cannot supply the requirements for 10 more years ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is why we are also considering the feasibility of purchasing pipes from outside.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that this loan of Rs. 3 crores was secured with a view to implement the Five-Year Plan ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is obvious.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Are the Government sure that this amount has been entirely used to implement the Five-Year Plan ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Are these items—loans to municipalities, loans to land holders and local boards—part and parcel of the Five-Year Plan ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—The amount we wanted to raise is 3 crores. But actualy we have got 37 lakhs more.

And it was also said when we floated the loan that that was also one of the purposes. That is why we have 50 lakhs by way of loan for the scheme.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the items mentioned here, namely, loans to municipalities, district boards, land-holders, etc., are outside the Five-Year Plan ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Yes.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—So, is it not lawful to make use of the loan secured for the purpose of implementing the Five-Year Plan ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—Quite lawful.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that the total public debt secured during these years is Rs. 990 lakhs including the loans from the Government ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is in the Budget.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—It is in the Budget, no doubt. But is it not a fact ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—When the facts are mentioned in the Budget, we cannot go on mentioning them during question hour.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Is it not a fact that out of this, only Rs. 267 lakhs have been made use of for other purposes than the Five-Year Plan ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—No. The Five-Year Plan expenditure exceeds even 3 crores and odd. Therefore, the charge that we are not spending that money for this purpose cannot be admitted.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—My contention is that the total public debts secured including the amount of loan from the Centre has not been spent on the Five-Year Plan and according to the Budget, only a sum of 261 lakhs has been spent on expenditure outside the revenue account.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—That is not true.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—And the remaining amount has been spent on payment for floating the loan and for ordinary expenditure.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is not correct he says.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—What are these notabilities ?

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA.—It is merely an accounting head. In fact, there are ao notabilities.

Chief Accounts Officers of Electrical and Food Departments.

Q.—722. **Sri M. CHIKKALINGIAH** (Malavalli—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how many Assistant Controllers were promoted as Deputy Controllers since the year 1952 and how many of them were qualified to draw their increments as Assistant Controllers ;

(*b*) when they were recruited as Gazetted Probationers to the Accounts Department and how many chances have been allowed to them to qualify themselves to earn their increments as per Government Order of 1944 ;

(*c*) when the Chief Accounts Officer of the Electricity Audit and Accounts Office was recruited to Government service and how many chances were allowed to him to qualify himself to draw the increments in his permanent grade as against the G.O. of 1944 ?

A.—**Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA** (Chief Minister).—

(*a*) Three. Of these, one was promoted from the lower ranks and had passed all the departmental examinations. The other two were taken as gazetted probationers.

(*b*) One was recruited in 1944 and the other in 1946. The following were the chances allowed :—

Sri R. V. Subramanyam : Passed 1st examination of the Indian Audit Department in the eighth chance and he has appeared for the 2nd examination for the seventh time.

Sri K. Dasappa : Has not passed 1st examination. He failed five times and did not take up examination.

(*c*) Recruited in 1944. In view of the Financial Integration, the cases of the above officers were considered and they have been allowed to draw